28

The parties to the within action hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, the Court's Order dated February 26, 2014 setting a briefing schedule (Dkt. No. 866) for the Defendants' motions for summary judgment based on the filed rate doctrine. (Dkt. Nos. 724, 725, 728, 731, 753, 761, 763, 786, 792);

WHEREAS, the Court has previously set briefing limits and deadlines for Defendants' individual and joint replies in support of their motions for summary judgment based on the filed rate doctrine. (Dkt. Nos. 841, 848, 866);

WHEREAS, the Parties have met and conferred regarding the timing and length of the Defendants Reply briefs;

WHEREAS, no hearing date for Defendants' motions for summary judgment has been set and the stipulations below will have no effect on the schedule for the case;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the Parties, subject to the Court's approval, that the Defendants individual and joint replies to Plaintiffs' omnibus opposition (Dkt. No. 869) will be due on July 30, 2014; and

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the Parties, subject to this Court's approval, that the page limits for Defendants' individual and joint replies as ordered by the Court (Dkt. No. 866) will remain unchanged, except that the Defendants may file one joint brief which shall not exceed 50 pages of text (exclusive of declarations and/or supporting exhibits) instead of three 25 page briefs (a total of 75 pages) currently permitted by the Court's Order; and

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER STIPULATED by and between the Parties, subject to this Court's approval, that Plaintiffs shall have the opportunity to depose any new declarant who submits a declaration as part of any Defendant reply, if such declarant has not been previously deposed. If the declarant has been previously deposed, the respective defendant will produce the declarant for a further deposition limited to the topic(s) raised in the declaration annexed to the

## 

1	reply. The deposition shall be noticed	within thirty (30) days after the filing of Defendants' reply
2	brief.	
3		
4	SO STIPULATED:	HAUSFELD, LLP
5	Dated: July 14, 2014	
6		
7		By: /s/Christopher L. Lebsock
8		Christopher L. Lebsock Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
9		COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY
10		
11		By: /s/Steven N. Williams
12 13		Steven N. Williams Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
14		CONDON & FORSYTH LLP
15		
16		By: /s/Michael J. Holland
17		Michael J. Holland  Counsel for Defendant Air New Zealand
18		CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP
19		
20		
21		By: /s/Ankur Kapoor  Ankur Kapoor
22		Counsel for Defendant All Nippon Airways
23		SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS
24		
25		By: /s/James V. Dick
26		James V. Dick Counsel for Defendant China Airlines
27		Commer for Defendant China Attitues
28	Stipulation and Proposed Order Extending Time to File	
	Defendants' Reply Brief and Revised Briefing Limits	CASE NO. 07-CV-5634-CRB

## KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Tammy A. Tsmoumas By: /s/Tammy A. Tsmoumas Tammy A. Tsmoumas Counsel for Defendant EVA Airways **BAKER & MILLER PLLC** By: /s/W. Todd Miller W. Todd Miller Counsel for Defendant Qantas Airways **COVINGTON & BURLING LLP** By: /s/Anita Stork Anita Stork Counsel for Defendant Philippine Airlines Stipulation and Proposed Order Extending Time to File

Case 3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document 908 Filed 07/17/14 Page 4 of 5

## Case 3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document 908 Filed 07/17/14 Page 5 of 5

Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i) regarding signatures, I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the signatories. **CONDON & FORSYTH LLP** /s/Michael J. Holland By: Michael J. Holland Counsel for Defendant Air New Zealand PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: July 16, 2014 IS SO ORDERED Judge Charles R. Breyer 

